

## HYPERTONIC SALINE VERSUS MANNITOL FOR ICP REDUCTION

### Introduction

1. Elevated intracranial pressure (ICP) is caused by excess volume in the cerebral spaces, which causes a reduction in the cerebral perfusion pressure and affects blood flow and oxygenation to the brain.
2. Hyperosmolar agents (hypertonic saline and mannitol) are utilized to form a gradient across the blood-brain barrier to draw fluid from the cerebral space into the vasculature, thus reducing ICP
3. Mannitol was previously considered the gold standard of osmotic therapy, but hypertonic saline has proven to be at least as effective as mannitol at reducing ICP

Pharmacology		
	Hypertonic Saline	Mannitol
<b>Mechanism</b>	Increases serum sodium levels, making it more hypertonic. Giving a bolus causes a gradient for water to follow sodium extracellularly and move out of the cerebral spaces into the vasculature, while a continuous infusion aids in resuscitation	Osmotic <u>diuretic</u> by increasing the osmolality of the glomerular filtrate, thus blocking reabsorption of water and excretion of sodium. This leads to movement of water to extracellular and vascular spaces and reducing the ICP
<b>Dose</b>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>3 – 23.4% available</u></p> <p>3%: optimal dose is unclear, reasonable to start with 300-500mL bolus or continuous infusion at 100mL/hr and titrate per response</p> <p>23.4% : 0.43-0.5 mL/kg IV bolus, max 30mL/dose</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>5 - 25% solutions available (20% most common)</u></p> <p>0.25 – 1g/kg/dose IV bolus q 6-8 hours (Usually 25-100g per dose)</p>
<b>Administration</b>	<p>3% intermittent bolus or continuous infusion *strong osmotic gradient not retained with continuous infusions</p> <p>23.4% intermittent bolus over 15 minutes</p>	Intermittent IV infusion over 30 minutes
<b>Adverse Effects</b>	Hypervolemia, respiratory distress, electrolyte imbalances (hypermnatremia)	Hypotension, hypovolemia, AKI, electrolyte disturbances (specifically K <sup>+</sup> ), extravasation
<b>Cautions/Pearls</b>	Solutions > 3-5% require a central line	Requires <b>in-line filter</b> due to risk of crystallization Avoid in hypovolemia and anuria
<b>Patient population to consider use in</b>	Hypovolemic, hypotensive, traumatic resuscitation	Euvolemia, hypertensive, fluid restrictions
<b>Monitoring</b>	Serum sodium 145-155mEq/dL Serum osmolality 300-320 mOsm/L Titrate based on ICP	Serum osmolality 300-320 mOsm/L Titrated based on ICP
<b>Where to find in GHS</b>	<b>3% Sodium chloride – 500mL</b> <b>EDZONE2, EDZONE3, ALL TRAUMA STATIONS</b>	<b>20% Mannitol – 500ML</b> <b>EDZONE2, EDZONE3, TRAUMA-M, EDETENTION</b>

Considerations for Administration			
	3% Sodium Chloride	23.4% Sodium Chloride	20% Mannitol
<b>Vascular Access</b>	Peripheral or central	Central ONLY	Peripheral or central
<b>Volume (per dose)</b>	500mL +	~30 mL	125 – 500 mL(20%)
<b>Equipment</b>	Bolus: Infusion by gravity Continuous: IV infusion pump	Syringe pump preferred	IV infusion pump

Overview of Evidence			
Author, year	Design/ sample size	Intervention & Comparison	Outcome
A. Kerwin, 2009	Retrospective analysis, (22 patients)	<u>HTS vs mannitol</u> mean ICP reduction in patients with TBI	<b>HTS is as efficacious as mannitol</b> , if not more so, and adds to the growing literature suggesting that HTS is an effective modality for the control of elevated ICP in patients with <b>severe TBI</b>
M. Li, 2015	Meta-Analysis, 7 studies (169 patients)	<u>HTS vs mannitol</u> in mean ICP reduction in patients with TBI	<b>HTS reduces ICP more effectively than mannitol</b> in the setting of <b>TBI</b>
S. Burgess, 2016	Meta-Analysis, 7 trials (191 patients)	<u>HTS vs mannitol</u> in mean ICP reduction, risk of ICP treatment failure, mortality rates, and neurological outcomes	<b>No statistical difference in mortality and neurological outcomes.</b> No difference in mean reduced ICP; decreased risk of ICP treatment failure with HTS
E. Berger-Pelleiter, 2016	Meta-Analysis, 11 studies (1,820 patients)	<u>HTS vs mannitol</u> in reduction of mortality, ICP, and increasing functional outcomes	<b>No significant reduction in mortality</b> , no significant reduction in mean ICP, <b>no significant difference in functional outcomes</b>
C. Pasarikovski, 2017	Systematic Review, 5 studies (175 patients)	<u>HTS vs mannitol</u> in ICP reduction in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage	<b>No difference</b> between mannitol and 3% HTS in reducing ICP in patients with <b>aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage</b>
J. Gu, 2018	Mata-Analysis, 12 RCTs, (438 patients)	<u>HTS vs mannitol</u> in ICP reduction, ICP control, changes in serum sodium and osmolality, mortality, neurological function outcome	No difference in mean ICP reduction, neurological function, and mortality. <b>HTS may be preferred in TBI patients with refractory intracranial hypertension</b>

**It is essential to consider the adverse effects of each agent and the comorbidities for an individual patient rather than making a simple comparison in efficacy of hypertonic saline versus mannitol**

### **References**

- Burgess S, et al. *Annals of pharmacotherapy*. 2016;50(4):291-300.
- Li M, et al. *Y*, 2015. *Medicine*. 2015;9(4):17.
- Dastur C, et al. *Stroke and vascular neurology*. 2017;2:21-29.
- Kerwin A, et al. *J Trauma*. 2009;67:277-282.
- Pasarikovski C, et al. *World Neurosurg*. 2017;105:1-6.
- Gu J, et al. *Neurosurg Rev*. 2018;42:499.
- Berger-Pelleiter E, et al. *CJEM*. 2016;18:112-120.
- Farrokh S, et al. *Curr opin crit care*. 2011; 25:105-109.
- Witherspoon B, et al. *Nurs Clin N Am*. 2017;52:249-60.
- Micromedex [Electronic]. Greenwood Village, CO: Truven Health Analytics. Retrieved August 12, 2019 from <http://www.micromedexsolutions.com>